

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE**

**MINUTES
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING**

By TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

January 17, 2011

13
14
15
16

CALL TO ORDER:

Dr. Beth Pearce, Chair, called the meeting to order at 8:20 a.m. Those present for all or part of the meeting included the following:

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Beth Pearce, D.P.M., Chair
Sherwood Weisman, D.P.M., Vice-Chair
Chet Evans, D.P.M.
Scott Koppel, D.P.M.
Dennis Frisch, D.P.M.
Ms. Sandra Inge

25
26
27

BOARD MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Robert Morris, Ph.D. (Excused)

28
29
30
31

BOARD STAFF:

Bruce Deterding, Board Executive Director

32
33
34

BOARD COUNSEL:

C. Khai Patterson, Assistant Attorney General
Office of Attorney General

35
36
37
38

Mr. Deterding stated that the meeting was a public meeting. The proceedings were being recorded and that an audio file of the meeting will be posted on the board's website.

39
40
41

APPROVAL OF APPLICATION FOR PODIATRIC EXAMINATION & INITIAL LICENSURE and RULE 64B18-11.001, F.A.C. – APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE:

42
43
44
45
46

Ms. Patterson explained the changes that had been made in response to the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee's (JAPC's) review of the application which is a part of the rule proposed by the board. The changes were suggestions to clarify to and enhance readability of the application.

47
48

Discussion ensued.

49
50
51

Ms. Patterson asked if the board would accept the suggested changes made in response to JAPC's review of the proposed application and rule.

1 **Dr. Frisch moved to approve the podiatric physician's application as presented.**
2 **The motion was seconded and carried 5/0.**

3
4 **Dr. Evans asked for a point of information from Ms. Patterson about when board**
5 **members would have another opportunity to make any additional changes to the**
6 **application without slowing the passage of this version through the rules process.**

7
8 Ms. Patterson deferred to Mr. Deterding on that point.

9
10 Mr. Deterding stated that the podiatric physician's application was being presented
11 before the board for review and approval of these changes so that the department can
12 proceed with implementing an online application process within the month. Once that
13 was implemented and the present application replaced, Mr. Deterding agreed that he
14 could bring the application to the board again for another review. He indicated that time
15 was of the essence so that the online applications would be available to applicants as
16 soon as possible, but that he was certain that they would probably be able to begin
17 looking at changes to the application as soon as the next scheduled meeting of the
18 board in March 2012.

19
20 Ms. Patterson added that the board had previously reviewed this application but if the
21 board were to make additional changes to the application at this board meeting today,
22 the application would have to go back to JAPC for additional review and that could be
23 expected to slow the implementation of the online application.

24
25 Discussion ensued.

26
27 **Dr. Frisch, having been on the prevailing side of the previous vote, moved for a**
28 **reconsideration of the previous vote. The motion was seconded and the previous**
29 **vote was reconsidered. On reconsideration, the motion to approve the podiatric**
30 **physician's application as previously presented carried by a vote of 4/2 with Dr.**
31 **Frisch and Ms. Inge opposed.**

32
33 Ms. Patterson stated that the board would need to vote related to Rule 64B18-11.001,
34 F.A.C., where the date in that rule was changed pertaining to the board's approval date
35 for the referenced application.

36
37 Discussion ensued.

38
39 **Dr. Pearce moved to approve the rule. The motion was seconded and carried 5/1**
40 **with Dr. Frisch opposed.**

41
42 **NEXT MEETING DATE – March 23rd – Tampa**

43
44 **ADJOURNMENT:**

45
46 The meeting was adjourned at 9:05 a.m.